

UEA Students' Union

Management Committee

4/9/2017

3pm BR3

1. Minutes, Apologies, Matters Arising

IE absent

SECTION A: UPDATES/REPORTS

Operational & Key Relationship Updates

TC – returned from A/L therefore catching up, moving forward with phase 4 plans and freshers.

MC – Catching up from A/L, met with FL re PHD employment rights, freshers planning.

JD – Manchester visit, policy development meetings, prepping for residential.

CK – Catching up, Sport SOC committees, Take a Stand prep, Meeting PS re sports in general.

LC – Med cert meetings, Careers Central meeting re volunteering, Project working with estranged students.

ML – Course reps and convenors, meetings.

JR – Policy development meetings, E&E Sub Committee, Meeting with Rob Klim, Afterdark meetings, Housing standards meeting.

Social Enterprises Updates

To consider trading updates from shops, bars and ents on trading (TC)

Have not been trading as normal. Works beginning in bars, Unio etc. Ice Cream freezer coming next week, preparing for freshers.

SECTION B: ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

2. Peer Support Groups (LC)

support for peer support groups could be better and therefore would like to clarify who is responsible for what group. Opportunities (SA) will support PSGs (set up, training, comms, finance, operational support etc). The tend to fall under wellbeing and welfare and liberation. Welfare will be supported by Advice Team (MH). Liberations sections with be supported by E&E team (JB). Sections of lead change programme will be specifically for PSGs. Also working with members of SSS for training. IA would like to consult with PSGs regarding training budgets. We have also ringfenced many spaces (e.g. bystander initiative) for those in PSGs.

Agreed at MGMT committee that Nightline would have £2000, £3500 for other groups. LC looking for input over the rest of the money. Potential to use similar framework as media collective. Option 1 – allowance for expected items and then allocation via budget requests, grant requests directly to opportunities. Option 2 – similar to option 1 but they go through Expenses 365 route.

JR suggested out of £3,500, three societies (Pride, Chronic and Student Minds) take £1000 each and £500 left as contingency or start up fund. JR also recommends reviewing and monitoring spends. Mc agreed with this and noted that it should be easier for those students to be accessed funds rather than go through weighty admin. JD

questioned should we be making the decision here or during sub-committees. JR said we should make the early decision here. JD noted there are meetings happening over the summer so a decision can be made. ML requested putting something in place so PSGs can review next year.

Management committee voted -

Pride - £1000

Chronic - £1000

(LED)

Student minds - £1000

Additional £500 for start-up/contingency

(Welfare)

3. Freshers Freebies (MC)

Discussion outside the meeting.

4. FTOs at Freshers (all)

Discussion regarding Broadview Lodge vs Taxis. Broadview lodge deemed more expensive (roughly £35 pppn) vs taxi (roughly £12 pppn). Old sabbs consulted on this and noted Broadview Lodge was convenient.

CK noted that students had raised issues over expense of rooms.

JD noted it is hard to give firm price of this as it depends on number of sabbs staying, number of rooms, number of nights, taxi company, taxi rate etc.

ML suggested booking a twin room and then everyone can share room. JR and MC noted that technically might not work due to more than 2 at a time looking to stay.

JR suggested that it could be each officers decision on what they want to do.

CK asked when staying would start. JR suggested that officers should tell JD when they want.

Management committee decided to go ahead with bookings and officers to tally their hours for that week.

5. Priority Campaigns Poll (JR)

JR suggested to bringing back priority campaigns poll and therefore giving student population a voice to decide what they priority campaigns should be for that year.

LC raised relationship between SSOC sub-committees and that this allow those involved in the committees to get further involved.

ML questioned whether SOC and Sub-committees would clash. MC noted that sub-committees may not win themes and therefore not have something to work on for that year. JR happy to create a system to put in place for ensuring this would not happen and would like to get this right.